Resit / Numerical Mathematics 1 / July 11th 2022, University of Groningen

A simple calculator is allowed.

The exam is closed book.

All answers need to be justified using mathematical arguments.

Total time: 2 hours + 20 minutes (if special facilities were requested in advanced)

Exercise 1 (9 points)

Consider a function $f(x) \in C^2([a, b])$. We define the numerical integration rule:

$$\tilde{I}(f) = (b-a)f(\alpha)$$

with $\alpha \in [a, b]$.

(a) $\boxed{3}$ Determine the degree of exactness for all possible values of α . Prove each of the results. Do not compute/use error bounds here.

For $\alpha = (a + b)/2$ we have the midpoint rule, and therefore it can be easily shown that linear functions can be integrated exactly (0.5 pt), but not quadratic functions (0.5 pt). For $\alpha \neq (a + b)/2$, constants can be integrated exactly (1 pt) but not linear functions (1 pt)

(b) 6 Prove an error bound for

$$\tilde{I}(f) - \int_a^b f(u) du$$

for all values of α . The error bound needs to depend on a, b, α and some derivative(s) of the function f. How does this bound compare with your results in the question before? First, we need to do a Taylor expansion for approximating the the function which results in (1 **pt**):

$$f(u) = f(\alpha) + (u - \alpha)f'(\alpha) + \frac{(u - \alpha)^2}{2}f''(\eta)$$

and therefore replacing and computing the integral (1.5 pt):

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(u)du = f(\alpha)(b-a) + f'(\alpha)(b^{2}/2 - \alpha b - a^{2}/2 + \alpha a) + f''(\eta)\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(u-\alpha)^{2}}{2}$$

Note that for $\alpha = (b + a)/2$, the first term is zero, leading to the error bound (1 pt):

$$\frac{(b-a)^3}{24} \max_{\eta \in [a,b]} |f''(\eta)|$$

Therefore, this result is consistent with the computation of degree of exactness since it means that linear functions are integrated exactly (0.5 pt)

For other values of α , the first term is non-zero. Therefore, the error bound should be re-written using Taylor theorem with order 1, leading to the error bound (1 pt)

$$\max_{\xi \in [a,b]} |f'(\xi)| ((b-\alpha)^2/2 - (a-\alpha)^2/2)$$

Therefore, this result is consistent with the computation of degree of exactness since it means that constant functions can be integrated exactly (1 pt).

Resit / Numerical Mathematics 1 / July 11th 2022, University of Groningen

A simple calculator is allowed.

The exam is closed book.

All answers need to be justified using mathematical arguments.

Total time: 2 hours + 20 minutes (if special facilities were requested in advanced)

Exercise 2 (9 points)

Consider the root-finding problems for the functions $f(x) = \cos(x + \pi/2)$ and $g(x) = \cos(x) - 1$. Both have roots at x = 0.

(a) 4 Compute the Newton iteration function f(x), and compute the first three derivatives of the iteration function

$$\phi_f(x) = x - \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} \quad (1 \text{ pt})$$

$$\phi'_f(x) = 1 - \frac{\cos(x)}{\cos(x)} - \frac{\sin^2(x)}{\cos^2(x)} = -\tan^2(x) \quad (1 \text{ pt})$$

$$\phi''_f(x) = -2\frac{\tan(x)}{\cos^2(x)} \quad (1 \text{ pt})$$

$$\phi'''_f(x) = -2\frac{1}{\cos^4(x)} - 4\frac{\tan(x)}{\cos^3(x)}\sin(x) \quad (1 \text{ pt})$$

(b) 3 Using Taylor expansions of the Newton iteration function ϕ_f of the function f at the root, determine the order of convergence for the Newton iterations $x^{(k)} = \phi_f(x^{(k-1)})$.

First, note that $\phi'_f(0) = \phi''_f(0) = 0$ (1 pt), but $\phi''_f(0) \neq 0$ (1 pt). Doing a Taylor expansion of $x^{(k)}$ around 0 (1 pt):

$$x^{(k+1)} = \phi_f(x^{(k)}) = \phi_f(0) + \phi'_f(0)(x^{(k)}) + \phi''_f(0)(x^{(k)})^2/2 + \phi'''_f(\eta)(x^{(k)})^3/6 = \phi'''_f(\eta)(x^{(k)})^3/6$$

hence the order of convergence to zero is 3 (1 pt).

(c) 2 Compute the Newton iteration function g(x). What is the order of convergence to the root of these iterations, and why?

$$\phi_g(x) = x + \frac{\cos(x) - 1}{\sin(x)}$$
 (1 pt)

Since the derivative of g(x) is zero at the root, the order of convergence will be just linear (1 pt).

Resit / Numerical Mathematics 1 / July 11th 2022, University of Groningen

A simple calculator is allowed.

The exam is closed book.

All answers need to be justified using mathematical arguments.

Total time: 2 hours + 20 minutes (if special facilities were requested in advanced)

Exercise 3 (9 points)

Consider the ODE for the functions y(t):

$$y' = f(y, t), y(0) = y_0$$

We define a numerical approximation for this ODE as follows:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left(f(y_n, t_n) + f(y_n + hf(y_n, t_n), t_{n+1}) \right)$$
(1)

with $t_{n+1} = t_n + h, h > 0.$

- (a) 1.5 Write down the formula for the Newton iterations for finding y_{n+1} in Equation (1). The method is explicit, so that formula for the Newton method is the same equation. (1.5 pt)
- (b) 4 Assume f(y,t) = ay, a < 0. Find h_{crit} such that $y_n \to 0$ for $h < h_{crit}$. First (1.5 pt)

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left(ay_n + a(y_n + hay_n) \right) = (1 + ah + (ah)^2/2)y_n$$

For A-stability, we then need (1 pt):

$$(1 + ah + (ah)^2/2) < 1$$

what is equivalent to (1.5 pt):

$$1 + ah/2 < 0 \Leftrightarrow h < -2/a = h_{crit}$$

(c) 3.5 It can be shown that for the method presented above it holds:

$$|y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| = Ch^3, C > 0.$$

List the advantages and disadvantages of method (1) compared to Forward Euler and Midpoint methods.

Compared to Forward Euler, this methods is second order accurate (0.5 pt) and and it is also explicit (0.5 pt), and the stability limit for h is the same as for Forward euler (0.5 pt). The increased order comes at the price of one additional evaluation of the function (0.5 pt). Compared with Midpoint, both are second order accurate (0.5 pt) but Midpoint is implicit, therefore potentially more expensive (0.5 pt), but midpoint is unconditionally stable for linear problems (0.5 pt).